started but their complaints were not heeded. The Member then presented a list showing some only of the mistakes that had occurred at the re-survey and requested that those mistakes and several others like them might be rectified before further action was taken by the Revenue authorities.

The Member, therefore, requested (1) that the Taluk authorities might be empowered to enquire into complaints about such inaccuracies with the aid of the records of the previous survey ; (2) that further action towards registry, &c., be stopped until the enquiries on such petitions were completed and the mistakes rectified ; (3) that proceedings under the Land Conservancy Regulation be not started against those who had entered on *puduval* lands and made improvements thereon ; and (4) that, if for any reasons, lands occupied and improved upon by any persons be registered in the names of others, compensation be given to the occupants for their improvements.

Dewan's reply.—The Member's representations and the list of mistakes during re-survey will be looked into.

10. Desirability of commuting into money the tax now paid in kind to Jenmis on Jenmom lands.

Mr. N. Sankaranarayana Pillai (Member, Vilavankod taluk) said that the *michavarom* and other dues to Jenmis on Jenmom lands were now required to be paid by the Kudiyans in paddy and that it entailed great hardship and loss on the Kudiyans. All the difficulties and hardships which the Kudiyans experienced in the payment of land tax in kind to Government existed in the case of the payments to the Jenmis. He, therefore, requested that the *michavarom*, &c., now paid in kind to Jenmis on their Devaswom and Brahmaswom lands be commuted into money. The *thiruppuvarom* charged on lands and payable to Jenmis and Devaswoms should also be similarly commuted into a money-payment. The rate of commutation might be fixed at 11 chs. per *para* of paddy as in the case of Sircar land tax.

Dewan.—Is it competent to Government to interfere in the transactions between the Jenmis and the Kudiyans ?

Member.—The tenants have a real grievance in the matter. The Jenmis themselves would have no objection to receive payment of their dues in money. The trouble experienced was at the hands of the agents or Kariasthans of the Jenmis who came to receive payment.

The Member, therefore, requested that an order might be issued by Government directing the Jenmis to accept payment of their dues in money at a certain rate to be fixed.

Dewan's reply.—Noted.

11. Desirability of amending Sections 6 and 8 of the Puduval Rules, so as to abrogate the provision in regard to the registry of *poramboke* lands on the banks of rivers, canals, lakes, etc., only after a reference to the P. W. D.

Mr. N. Sankaranarayana Panikkar (Member, Ambalapuzha taluk) said that, when applications were made for the registry of lands on the sides of roads, canals and rivers or for tank bunds or for reclamations from backwaters, rivers and canals, a reference had, under section 6 or 8 of the Puduval Rules, to be made to the P. W. Department before the registry could be ordered. The references caused long delays in the disposal of applications. The inspection of the lands was also done in a very off-hand manner very often by low-paid subordinates of the P. W. D. like maistries. The Member suggested that the P. W. D. should be required to decide once for all what extent of land adjoining roads, canals, backwaters and rivers in their charge was required for present and future requirements and mark it out on the ground. Revenue authorities should have full power to finally dispose of applications for lands outside the limits fixed by the P. W. D. without reference to that department. Suitable modifications should be made in the Puduval Rules accordingly

Dewan's reply.—Noted.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly